

[MIT Online Subject Evaluation](#) | [Guide to Reports](#)

Change report view: Curhan, Jared R. ▼

15.672 Negotiation Analysis
 15.673 Negotiation Analysis
 15.6721 Negotiation Analysis
 15.6731 Negotiation Analysis

Survey Window: IAP 2017 | [View Current Catalog Entry](#) | [Print Report](#)

Report Includes Data for:

Students: For credit

Subjects: 15.672 Negotiation Analysis - Lecture L01

15.673 Negotiation Analysis - Lecture L01

15.6721 Negotiation Analysis - Lecture L01

15.6731 Negotiation Analysis - Lecture L01

[\(filter data\)](#) ?

Eligible to Respond: Total # of Respondents: 40 ?
 78 ?

Response rate: 51% ?

Overall rating of subject: 6.9 out of 7

Download Set of Individual Student Responses: [PDF](#) [raw data](#)

Show/Hide Comments

INSTRUCTORS

Quality of Teaching		<i>1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)</i>			<i>1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)</i>	
NAME		Stimulated interest	Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	Helped me learn	Overall rating	
Curhan, Jared R. , Lecturer (LEC)		6.9 (40)	7.0 (40)	6.9 (40)	6.9 (40)	
Friis, Simon C. , Teaching Assistant (LEC)		6.6 (25)	6.6 (25)	6.7 (32)	6.7 (27)	

Sloan Faculty Questions		<i>1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Mixed, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree (5 is best)</i>	
NAME		Recommend Professor	
Curhan, Jared R. , Lecturer (LEC)		5.0 (40)	

Curhan, Jared R., Lecturer in Lecture L01 - Overall rating: 6.9

Quality of Teaching	Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)									
	AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	RESPONSES	MEDIAN
Stimulated interest	6.9							40	7.0	0.27
Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	7.0							40	7.0	0.16
Helped me learn	6.9							40	7.0	0.43

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)

	AVG	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
Overall rating	6.9		40	7.0	0.3

Sloan Faculty Questions

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Mixed, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree (5 is best)

	AVG	1 2 3 4 5	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
Recommend Professor	5.0		40	5.0	0.16

SUBJECT**SUBJECT**

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)

	AVG	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
Subject expectations were clearly defined	6.9		40	7.0	0.43
Subject's learning objectives were met	6.9		40	7.0	0.48
Assignments contributed to my learning	7.0		40	7.0	0.22
Grading thus far has been fair	7.0		25	7.0	0.2

Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)

	AVG	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
The pace of the class (content and assignments) was:	4.3		38	4.0	0.65

	AVG	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
Average hours you spent per week on this subject in the classroom	21.9	35	23.0	5.98
Average hours you spent per week on this subject outside of the classroom	6.1	35	5.0	7.18

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent (7 is best)

	AVG	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
Overall rating of the subject	6.9		37	7.0	0.28

SLOAN SUBJECT QUESTIONS

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Mixed, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree (5 is best)

	AVG	1 2 3 4 5	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
Recommend Subject	5.0		39	5.0	0.0

Show/Hide Comments

[\(top of page\)](#)